

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/620,615

Applicant(s)

TEAGUE, TOMMY KAY

Examiner

Tam (Jenny) Phan

Art Unit

2144

All Participants:(1) Tam (Jenny) Phan.(2) Nathan Cass (Reg. No. 18,931).**Date of Interview:** 19 November 2004**Status of Application:** _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____**Type of Interview:**☒ Telephonic☐ Video Conference☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

18-25

Prior art documents discussed:

U.S. Patent Number 6,654,758

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner initiated an interview with the applicant's attorney, Mr. Nathan Cass, to discuss a non-statutory double patenting rejection with respect to U.S. Patent Number 6,654,758 since the newly submitted claims 18-25 received on 10/20/2004 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 1-9 of U. S. Patent No. 6,654,758. A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application (09/620,615). The attorney agreed to fax the terminal disclaimer to the Office in order to further advance prosecution.